Minimal Information Loss Attention U-Net for abdominal CT of kidney cancers segmentation
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Abstract. Recent work has shown that U-net is a straight-forward and successful architecture, it quickly evolved to a commonly used benchmark in medical image segmentation, Which nnU-Net had better performance. We improved the nnU-Net model by incorporating a new image pyramid to preserve contextual features and attention gate. In order to let different kinds of class details more easily accessible at different scales, we injected the encoder layers with an input image pyramid before each of the max-pooling layers. We proposed a new image pyramid mechanism with dilated convolution that counters the loss of information caused by max-pooling, re-introducing the original image at multiple points within the network. We evaluated this model in the 2019 Kidney Tumor Segmentation Challenge, and got the dice coefficient 0.958 of kidney and 0.847 of tumors.
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1 Introduction

There are more than 400,000 new cases of kidney cancer each year [1], and surgery is its most common treatment [2]. Due to the wide variety in kidney and kidney tumor morphology, people are currently concerned with how tumor morphology is related to surgical results, [3,4] and developing advanced surgical planning techniques [5]. Automatic semantic segmentation is a promising tool for these efforts, but morphological heterogeneity makes it a challenge.

Medical image analysis faces difficulty balancing precision and recall due to small regions-of-interest (ROI) found in medical images. Research efforts to address small ROI segmentation propose more discriminative models such as attention gated networks [6]. CNNs with attention gates (AGs) focus on the target region, with respect to the classification goal, and can be trained end-to-end. At test time, these gates generate soft region proposals to highlight salient ROI features and suppress feature activations by irrelevant regions. To address the issues of kidney cancer segmentation, we combine attention gated U-Net with a new image pyramid mechanism.

Our major contributions include: (1) a deeply supervised attention U-Net [5], improved with a multi-scaled input image pyramid for better intermediate feature representations. (2) a new image pyramid mechanism with dilated convolution.


2 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Network architectures

In this paper, we present the framework bases on the original U-Net [6] and the nnU-Net [8]. At the deepest stage of encoding, the network has the richest possible feature representation. However, with cascaded convolutions and non-linearities, spatial details tend to get lost in the high-level output maps. This makes it difficult to reduce false detections for small objects that show large shape variability [5]. To address this issue, we use soft attention gates (AGs)(Fig. 3) to identify relevant spatial information from low-level feature maps and propagate it to the decoding stage.

![Fig. 1. Proposed Attention U-Net architecture with input image pyramid and deep supervised output layers.](image)

Moreover, since different kinds of class details are more easily accessible at different scales, we inject the encoder layers with an input image pyramid (Fig. 2) before each of the max-pooling layers. Combined with deep supervision, this method improves segmentation accuracy for datasets where small ROI features can get lost in cascading convolutions and facilitates the network learning more locality aware features with respect to the classification goal.
Fig. 2. A new image pyramid mechanism with dilated convolution. First, the image is sampled to the same resolution as the current layer with trilinear interpolation. Then after a 3x3 dilated conv. After concat with feather maps, add to feather maps.

Fig. 3. Schematic of additive attention gate (AG) adapted from [7]. Input features $x_1$ are scaled with attention coefficients $\alpha_i$ to propagate relevant features to the decoding layer output $\hat{x}_1$. The coarser gating signal $g$ provides contextual information while spatial regions from the input $x_1$ provide locality information.

2.2 Experiment

Preprocessing. All data is cropped to the region of nonzero values. CNNs do not natively understand voxel spacings. In medical images, it is common for different scanners or different acquisition protocols to result in datasets with heterogeneous voxel spacings. To enable our networks to properly learn spatial semantics, all patients are resampled to the median voxel spacing of their respective dataset, where third order spline interpolation is used for image data and nearest neighbor interpolation for the corresponding segmentation mask. The described scheme is independently applied to each case and each modality. Only two levels of headings should be numbered. Lower level headings remain unnumbered; they are formatted as run-in headings. [8]

Training Procedure. Our U-Net uses 30 feature maps at the highest resolution layers. Here we start with a batch size of 2.
All models are trained from scratch and evaluated using five-fold cross-validation on the training set. We train our networks with a combination of dice and cross-entropy loss:

\[ L_{total} = L_{dice} + L_{CE} \]  

(1)

we compute the dice loss for each sample in the batch and average over the batch. The dice loss formulation used here is a multi-class adaptation of the variant proposed in [9]. Based on past experience [10] we favor this formulation over other variants [11]. The dice loss is implemented as follows:

\[ L_{dice} = \frac{2 \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{i \in I} u_{ik} v_{ik}}{\sum_{i \in I} u_{ik}^2 + \sum_{i \in I} v_{ik}^2} \]  

(2)

where \( u \) is the softmax output of the network and \( v \) is a one hot encoding of the ground truth segmentation map. Both \( u \) and \( v \) have shape \( I \times K \) with \( i \in I \) being the number of pixels in the training patch/batch and \( k \in K \) being the classes. We use the Adam optimizer with an initial learning rate of \( 3 \times 10^{-4} \). We define an epoch as the iteration over 250 training batches. During training, we keep an exponential moving average of the validation (\( l_{MA}^v \)) and training (\( l_{MA}^t \)) losses. Whenever \( l_{MA}^v \) did not improve by at least \( 5 \times 10^{-3} \) within the last 30 epochs, the learning rate was reduced by factor 5. The training was terminated automatically if \( l_{MA}^v \) did not improve by more than \( 5 \times 10^{-3} \) within the last 60 epochs, but not before the learning rate was smaller than \( 10^{-6} \). (8)

3 Results
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For the test cases we use the five networks obtained from our training set cross-validation as an ensemble to further increase the robustness of our models.
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